Evaluation of Risk Factors for Septic Complications after Laparotomy for Abdominal Trauma: A Longitudinal Cohort Study

Surgery Section

RAJAN PRASAD GUPTA¹, ARUN KUMAR GUPTA², NIKHIL GUPTA³, RAGHAV YELAMANCHI⁴, LALIT KUMAR BANSAL⁵, CK DURGA⁶

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Septic complications are the most common cause of death in trauma patients who survive beyond 48 hours. Early diagnosis and treatment of infectious complications is essential to prevent life threatening complications like Systemic Inflammatory Response Syndrome (SIRS) and Multi-Organ Dysfunction Syndrome (MODS).

Aim: To study the various risk factors for septic abdominal complications following laparotomy for trauma.

Materials and Methods: A prospective longitudinal cohort single-center study was conducted from November 2016 to March 2018. Sixty patients above the age of 12 years, who underwent laparotomy for abdominal trauma in the Surgical Department of tertiary care hospital, were included in the study. Various patient variables were compared with postoperative septic abdominal complications like wound infection, wound dehiscence, anastomotic leak and intra-abdominal abscess. Data was analysed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 21.0.

Results: In the total 60 patients (35.60 ± 16.54), significant association was seen between wound infection and dehiscence with the time interval between trauma and surgery (p<0.001), lesser Revised Trauma Score (RTS) (p<0.001) and greater Injury Severity Score (ISS) (p<0.001). A significant association of all septic complications was seen with the need for Intensive Care Unit (ICU) stay (p<0.001) and the presence of associated injuries (p<0.001). Variables such as age, gender, co-morbidities, Body Mass Index (BMI), pre-hospital care received, intraoperative findings and duration of ICU stay had no association with outcomes (p>0.05).

Conclusion: In trauma patients, factors like low RTS score, high ISS score, need for ICU stay and the presence of associated injuries may help the surgeons to decide in which patients to go for techniques like delayed closure of the wound, stoma instead of bowel anastomosis, etc., which may help to reduce postoperative septic complications.

Keywords: Infections in trauma, Injury severity score, Intensive care unit stay, Revised trauma score, Trauma surgery

INTRODUCTION

Trauma is the major cause of mortality in population below the age of 45 years, who are the torch bearers of the economic development of every society [1]. As per the World Health Organisation (WHO) estimates, trauma will be one of the leading causes of disability adjusted life years in the middle age population [2]. Abdominal trauma constitutes about a quarter of trauma burden and about a quarter of abdominal trauma cases require surgery [2,3]. Blunt trauma abdomen is the most common mechanism of injury for abdominal trauma [2].

Baker CC et al., and Trunkey DD, classified the deaths due to trauma into immediate, early and late deaths [4,5]. Immediate deaths occurred in the field immediately after trauma and were largely unavoidable. Early deaths occurred in the hospital within the first 24-48 hours. Late deaths occurred beyond 48 hours. The proportion of early deaths was significant and that has led to the proposal of the concept of the golden hour in trauma. Improvement of the emergency medical services and the adoption of trauma management systems have led to a drastic reduction in the early deaths due to trauma. However, septic complications are the most common cause of death in trauma patients who survive beyond 48 hours [4]. Even though the incidence of infectious complications following laparotomy for trauma is less, these inimical outcomes are unacceptable to a surgeon. Septic abdominal complications range from wound infection to deep organ space infection leading

to sepsis. Early diagnosis and treatment of infectious complications is essential to prevent life threatening complications like SIRS and MODS [6].

The causes of abdominal sepsis in trauma include contamination of the peritoneal cavity with gastrointestinal tract contents, penetrating injuries, open and contaminated wounds and laparotomy in the emergency setting [7,8]. Hypoperfusion, hypothermia, placement of central lines and damage control resuscitation further increase the risk of infectious complications in trauma [7,8]. Identification of risk factors for predicting abdominal sepsis following laparotomy in trauma will help in the early diagnosis of these complications and thereby decreasing the late mortality in trauma [7-9].

The Revised Trauma Score (RTS) is a physiological scoring system in trauma based on respiratory rate, systolic blood pressure and Glasgow coma scale [10]. Each parameter is graded from 0 to 4 and then multiplied with a weighing factor. The final score ranges from 0 to 7.8408. The Injury Severity Score (ISS) is developed by Baker SP and O'Neill B is based on Abbreviated Injury Scale (AIS) [11]. The AIS score of the three most severely injured body regions was considered, the values were squared and added. The final score ranges from 1-75.

Authors hypothesised that various variables such as demographic factors, patient characteristics, scoring systems, pre-hospital care, intraoperative findings, ICU stay, etc., have a significant association with abdominal septic complications following emergency laparotomy

(00)) BY- MC - ND

for trauma. The aim of the present study was to analyse the various risk factors for septic abdominal complications like wound infection, wound dehiscence, anastomotic leak and intra-abdominal abscess in patients who underwent laparotomy for abdominal trauma in emergency.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A longitudinal cohort study was conducted in a tertiary care and academic center located in India from November 2016 to March 2018. Institutional Ethics Committee approval {TP (MD/MS) (44/2016)/IEC/ PGIMER/RMLH/7766/16} was taken prior to the commencement of the study. All procedures in the study were performed in accordance with the ethical standards as per the Declaration of Helsinki 1975, revised in 2013. All patients belonged to a single cohort as per the protocol and were followed-up prospectively for a period of 30 days to monitor the outcomes.

Inclusion criteria: Sixty patients, above the age of 12 years who underwent emergency laparotomy for abdominal trauma in the Surgical Department of our hospital during the study period were included in the study after obtaining the informed consent.

Exclusion criteria: Patients of abdominal trauma who were managed conservatively and patients who were lost to follow-up within 30 days of surgery were excluded from the study.

Sample calculation: The sample size was 60 patients on accrual calculated using the standard formula with a margin of error of 5% and confidence interval of 95% considering the study by Singla B et al., [12].

All patients who presented to the emergency with a history of abdominal trauma were evaluated as per the Advanced Trauma Life Support (ATLS) protocol [13]. All patients were assessed initially by the primary survey. Vital parameters such as pulse rate, blood pressure, respiratory rate and oxygen saturation were monitored. Demographic details, comorbidities, Body Mass Index (BMI), mechanism of injury, pre-hospital care received, RTS and ISS were noted [10,11]. All patients with a history of abdominal trauma underwent Focused Assessment with Sonography for Trauma (FAST) [14]. The indications for laparotomy in a case of abdominal trauma were:

- Blunt abdominal trauma with haemodynamic instability and FAST positive
- Free intraperitoneal air or retroperitoneal air on imaging
- Contrast Enhanced Computed Tomography (CECT) abdomen suggestive of perforated hollow viscus or severe solid organ injury as per the American Association for the Surgery of Trauma (AAST) grading [15]
- Clinical features suggestive of peritonitis
- Penetrating abdominal trauma with haemodynamic instability
- Evisceration of abdominal organs

In the operating room, all necessary procedures were performed. Perforations of the gastrointestinal tract and genitourinary tract were repaired primarily. Bleeding from the solid organs was controlled by pressure, packing, haemostatic devices and local haemostatic agents. Splenectomy was done in two patients in view of grade 5 splenic injury. Thorough peritoneal lavage was done with warm saline. Rectus sheath was closed continuously with polypropylene suture. Skin was closed with staples. All patients received empirical antibiotics (ceftriaxone 1000 mg BD and metronidazole 500 mg TDS), which were changed according to the culture and sensitivity report in case of any infectious complications. Intraoperative findings, associated injuries, the time interval between trauma and surgery, Intensive Care Unit (ICU) stay requirement and duration of ICU stay were noted. Patients received routine postoperative care. They were followed-up for a period of 30 days for septic abdominal complications like:

- Wound infection
- Wound dehiscence

- Anastomotic leak
- Intra abdominal abscess

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The data acquired during the study was coded and recorded in the MS Excel spreadsheet (Microsoft Office, Microsoft, Washington). Data was analysed using SPSS version 21.0 (IBM SPSS Statistics, International Business Machines Corporation, New York). Data was normally distributed. Hence, t-test was used to test the significance of continuous variables. Chi-square test was used to test the significance of categorical variables; p-value of <0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

The mean age of patients (n=60) in this study was 35.60 years with a standard deviation of 16.542. The proportion of males (n=32) was slightly higher than females (n=28) [Table/Fig-1]. Among the study subjects, 14 patients had co-morbidities (23.3%). Blunt trauma to the abdomen was the most common mechanism of injury (n=36; 60%) [Table/Fig-1].

Variables	Frequency	Proportion							
Gender									
Males	32	53.3%							
Females	28	46.7%							
Co-morbidities									
Present	14	23.3%							
Absent	46	76.7%							
Body Mass Index (BMI)	Body Mass Index (BMI)								
Underweight	0	0							
Normal	40	66.7%							
Over weight	18	30%							
Class 1 obesity	2	3.3%							
Class 2 obesity	0	0							
Morbid obesity	0	0							
Mechanism of injury									
Blunt trauma	36	60%							
Penetrating trauma	24	40%							
Pre-hospital care									
Received	22	36.7%							
Not received	38	63.3%							
[Table/Fig-1]: Table showing the demographic details, mechanism of injury, Body Mass Index (BMI), co-morbidities and pre-hospital care received by patients (N=60).									

The overall mean±SD (Standard Deviation) of RTS score was 7.41±0.641 with a range from 5.44 to 7.84. The overall mean±SD of ISS score was 15.10±7.508 with a range from 4 to 35. The mean±SD of time interval between trauma and surgical intervention was 9.2±6.84 hours with a range from 1 to 32 hours.

On laparotomy, gastrointestinal tract was the most common organ to be injured (53.33%). Solid organs were injured in 33.33% of patients [Table/Fig-2]. In the post-operative period, only 14 out of 60 patients required ICU stay [Table/Fig-2]. Most of the patients (76.7%) were managed in the ward. The mean duration of ICU stay was 6.43 days. In the postoperative period, 8 patients had wound infection (13.3%) [Table/Fig-2]. The same patients also had wound dehiscence (13.3%). Anastomotic leak was present in 6 out of 30 patients who underwent anastomosis. The same 6 patients also had an intra-abdominal abscess. Out of these 6 patients, 4 underwent re-exploration and two patients were managed conservatively.

As per t-test analysis, a significant association was present between wound infection and subjects who had greater time interval between trauma and surgical intervention, lesser RTS score and greater ISS score. Wound dehiscence also showed a significant association with the above parameters [Table/Fig-3].

Variables	Frequency	Proportion						
No	46	76.7%						
Yes	14	23.3%						
Intraoperative findings								
Gastrointestinal tract injury	32	53.33%						
Solid organ injury	20	33.33%						
Others	8	13.33%						
ICU stay								
Yes	14	23.3%						
No	46	76.7%						
Outcomes								
Wound infection	8	13.3%						
Wound dehiscence	8	13.3%						
Anastomotic leak	6 out of 30	20% of anastomosis done						
Intra-abdominal abscess 6 10%								
[Table/Fig-2]: Table showing the proportion of associated injuries, intraoperative findings, requirement of ICU stay and outcomes of the patients (N=60)								

abdominal complications. However, in some previous studies, older age was associated with increased risk of post-traumatic sepsis [16,17]. This discrepancy may be because of the age of the study subjects in this present study. As in many other previous studies, abdominal trauma was common in younger age groups in the present study [8,18]. Studies specifically on older age group patients may help to arrive at conclusions. Few studies have shown that female gender had a protective effect in traumatic sepsis because of the beneficial effect of estrogens on the immune system [17,19]. In many other studies, there was no association of gender with septic abdominal complications [20,21]. In this present study, there was no significant association of gender with abdominal sepsis.

BMI was not associated with septic abdominal complications in the present study. BMI has been proven as a risk factor for superficial surgical site infections in many studies [22,23]. The non-significant association of BMI with wound infection in this study may be because of the small sample size. The role of BMI as an independent risk factor for morbidity and mortality in trauma is debated. Few studies have proved BMI as a risk factor for multiorgan failure whereas few studies

	v	Vound dehise	ence	Wound infection Anastomotic leak		ak	Intra abdominal abscess					
Variables		ean		Mean			Mean			Mean		
studied	Absent	Present	p-value ¹	Absent	Present	p-value ¹	Absent	Present	p-value ¹	Absent	Present	p-value1
Age (years)	36.88	27.25	0.286	36.88	27.25	0.286	36.11	31.0	0.620	36.11	31.0	0.620
BMI (kg/m²)	23.0	25.50	0.16	23.0	25.5	0.161	23.30	23.67	0.857	23.30	23.67	0.857
Time interval (hours)	7.65	19.25	0.001*	7.65	19.25	0.001*	8.93	11.67	0.520	8.93	11.67	0.520
RTS	7.58	6.27	<0.001*	7.58	6.27	<0.001*	7.44	7.10	0.396	7.44	7.10	0.396
ISS	13.27	27.0	<0.001*	13.27	27.0	<0.001*	14.56	20.0	0.240	14.56	20.0	0.240
Length of ICU stay (days)	4.67	7.75	0.19	4.67	7.75	0.190	6.75	6.0	0.770	6.75	6.0	0.770

[Table/Fig-3]: Table comparing the outcomes with continuous variables. *Significant at p<0.05,¹ t-test

II: Body mass index; RTS: Revised trauma score; ICU: Intensive care unit; ISS: Injury severity score

As per chi-square analysis, a significant association was seen between wound infection, wound dehiscence, anastomotic leak and intraabdominal abscess with requirement of ICU stay and the presence of associated injuries with a p-value of <0.05 [Table/Fig-4,5].

		Wound infection		Wound d		
Variables studied	Sub- categories	Chi- square	p- value	Chi- square	p-value	Chi- square
Gender	Female	0.021	0.886	0.021	0.886	0.536
Gender	Male	0.021				
	Absent	4 405	0.236	1.405	0.236	1.014
Co-morbidities	Present	1.405				
	No	15 105	<0.001*	15.165	<0.001*	10.952
ICU care	Yes	15.165				
Associated	No	15.165	<0.001*	15.165	<0.001*	10.952
injuries	Yes	15.165				
Pre hospital	No	2.921	0.087	2.921	0.087	0.016
care	Yes	2.921				
	Hollow viscous perforation		0.061	1.01	0.061	
Intraoperative findings	Solid organ injury	1.01				2.917
	Others					

[Table/Fig-4]: Table comparing the outcomes with categorical variables (Wound infection and Wound dehiscence).

DISCUSSION

The present study was aimed to identify factors for predicting postoperative abdominal septic complications following trauma. In the present study, there was no association of age with septic

		Anastom	otic leak	Intra abdominal abscess		
Variables studied	Sub- categories	Chi- square	p-value	Chi- square	p-value	
Gender	Female	0.536	0.464	0.536	0.64	
Gender	Male	0.550			0.04	
	Absent	1.014	0.014	1.014	0.014	
Co-morbidities	Present	1.014	0.314	1.014	0.314	
	No	10.050	0.001*	10.952	0.001*	
ICU care	Yes	10.952				
Associated	No	10.050	0.001*	10.952	0.001*	
injuries	Yes	10.952			0.001*	
Pre hospital	No	0.010	0.0	0.010	0.0	
care	Yes	0.016	0.9	0.016	0.9	
	Hollow viscous perforation		0.233	2.917		
Intraoperative findings	Solid organ injury	2.917			0.233	
	Others					
[Table/Fig-5]: Table comparing the outcomes with categorical variables (Anastomotic						

ak and Intra abdominal abscess).

have shown that BMI was not an independent risk factor for predicting any complication after trauma laparotomy [24,25]. In a study by Wei S et al., on a large population of trauma laparotomy patients, it was found that there was no statistically significant association between BMI and organ/space and superficial surgical site infections [26].

In various studies, there was clear cut association between comorbidities and postoperative complications in elective surgeries [27,28]. However, in this study the association between co-morbidities and septic abdominal complications did not reach statistical significance. This can be hypothesised because of the predominant role of other associated factors and severity of injury when compared to patients' co-morbidities in dictating the septic complications in trauma surgery. In a previous study by Wang C et al., it was shown that co-morbidities were associated with increased mortality in trauma which was not evaluated in this study [27].

The time interval between trauma and surgery had a significant association with wound infection and dehiscence in the present study. Studies have shown that delay in the surgical intervention had increased risk of mortality and morbidity in surgical patients [29,30]. The time-lapse between trauma and surgery in this study ranged from 1 to 32 hours, indicating that patient transport and referral systems should be improved in our country.

Scoring systems in trauma have shown to be associated with abdominal septic complications following trauma surgery in various studies [20,31-33]. In this study, a low RTS and high ISS score had a significant association with wound infection and wound dehiscence. In a study by Morales CH et al., RTS, ISS and Abdominal Trauma Index (ATI) score were associated with wound infections [20]. In a study by Croce MA et al., ATI and ISS were proved to be significantly associated with abdominal septic complications [26]. In a large German trauma registry analysis of 29,829 patients, it was found that septic patients of trauma had high ISS scores when compared with those without septic complications [8].

ICU stay was significantly associated with all septic abdominal complications in the present study. This was also proved in previous studies where admission to ICU was associated with deep and organ space infection [20,33]. Kisat M et al., have done a retrospective analysis of patients in the National Trauma Data Bank for 2007-2008 and have found a significant association between septic complications and ICU stay [33].

Patients with associated injuries apart from abdominal trauma had increased risk of abdominal septic complications in the present study. This can be explained by the presence of higher trauma scores in these patients and also the increased release of inflammatory mediators. Variables such as pre hospital care received, intraoperative findings and length of ICU stay had no significant association with abdominal septic complications following trauma laparotomy in this study. Prospective study design was the major strength of this study as many previous studies were retrospective and were based on the data available from trauma registries.

Limitation(s)

Small sample size was a major limitation of the present study which has also made sub-group analysis practically impossible. This study may have a centripetal bias as it was a single center study and our institute being a tertiary care referral center.

CONCLUSION(S)

Early recognition of septic abdominal complications following laparotomy for trauma is essential to reduce late onset mortality in trauma patients. In this present study, it was identified that delayed presentation after trauma, low RTS, high ISS, need for ICU stay and presence of associated injuries increased the risk of wound infection and dehiscence. Further, the need for ICU stay and the presence of associated injuries had a significant association with anastomotic leak and intra-abdominal abscess. In trauma, patients factors like low RTS score, high ISS score, need for ICU stay and the presence of associated injuries may help the surgeons to decide in which patients to go for techniques like delayed closure of the wound, stoma instead of bowel anastomosis which may help to reduce postoperative septic complications. Authors recommend a multi-centre study in the future with a bigger sample size to validate the results of the present study.

REFERENCES

- van der Vlies CH, Olthof DC, Gaakeer M, Ponsen KJ, van Delden OM, Goslings JC. Changing patterns in diagnostic strategies and the treatment of blunt injury to solid abdominal organs. Int J Emerg Med. 2011;4:47. Doi: 10.1186/1865-1380-4-47.
- [2] The Global Health Observatory 2019, World Health Organisation. Available at https://www.who.int/data/gho/publications/world-health-statistics, Accessed 18th January 2021.
- [3] Karamercan A, Yilmaz TU, Karamercan MA, Aytaç B. Blunt abdominal trauma: Evaluation of diagnostic options and surgical outcomes. Ulus Travma Acil Cerrahi Derg. 2008;14(3):205-10. PMID: 18781416.
- [4] Baker CC, Oppenheimer L, Stephens B, Lewis FR, Trunkey DD. Epidemiology of trauma deaths. Am J Surg. 1980;140(1):144-50. Doi: 10.1016/0002-9610(80)90431-6.
- [5] Trunkey DD. Trauma. Accidental and intentional injuries account for more years of life lost in the U.S. than cancer and heart disease. Among the prescribed remedies are improved preventive efforts, speedier surgery and further research. Sci Am. 1983;249(2):28-35. PMID: 6623052.
- [6] Polat G, Ugan RA, Cadirci E, Halici Z. Sepsis and septic shock: Current treatment strategies and new approaches. Eurasian J Med. 2017;49(1):53-58. Doi: 10.5152/eurasianjmed.2017.17062.
- [7] Osborn TM, Tracy JK, Dunne JR, Pasquale M, Napolitano LM. Epidemiology of sepsis in patients with traumatic injury. Crit Care Med. 2004;32(11):2234-40. Doi: 10.1097/01.ccm.0000145586.23276.0f.
- [8] Wafaisade A, Lefering R, Bouillon B, Sakka SG, Thamm OC, Paffrath T, et al. Epidemiology and risk factors of sepsis after multiple trauma: An analysis of 29,829 patients from the Trauma Registry of the German Society for Trauma Surgery. Crit Care Med. 2011;39(4):621-28. Doi:10.1097/CCM.0b013e318206d3df.
- [9] Morales CH, Villegas MI, Villavicencio R, González G, Pérez LF, Peña AM, et al. Intra-abdominal infection in patients with abdominal trauma. Arch Surg. 2004;139(12):1278-85. Doi: 10.1001/archsurg.139.12.1278.
- [10] Champion HR, Sacco WJ, Copes WS, Gann DS, Gennarelli TA, Flanagan ME. A revision of the Trauma Score. J Trauma. 1989;29(5):623-29. Doi: 10.1097/00005373-198905000-00017.
- Baker SP, O'Neill B. The injury severity score: an update. J Trauma. 1976;16(11):882-85. Doi: 10.1097/00005373-197611000-00006.
- [12] Singla B, Singh K, Chawla I. Factors predicting the risk of intra-abdominal sepsis and burst abdomen in patients with abdominal trauma undergoing laparotomy. Int J Health Allied Sci. 2017;6:05-10. PMID: 29026669.
- [13] Galvagno SM Jr, Nahmias JT, Young DA. Advanced trauma life support[®] update 2019: Management and applications for adults and special populations. Anesthesiol Clin. 2019;37(1):13-32. Doi: 10.1016/j.anclin.2018.09.009.
- [14] Fornell Pérez R. Focused assessment with sonography for trauma (FAST) versus multidetector computed tomography in hemodynamically unstable emergency patients. Radiologia. 2017;59(6):531-34. Doi: 10.1016/j.rx.2016.11.007.
- [15] Chien LC, Vakil M, Nguyen J, Chahine A, Archer-Arroyo K, Hanna TN, et al. The American Association for the Surgery of Trauma Organ Injury Scale 2018 update for computed tomography-based grading of renal trauma: A primer for the emergency radiologist. Emerg Radiol. 2020;27(1):63-73. Doi: 10.1007/s10140-019-01721-z.
- [16] Brattström O, Granath F, Rossi P, Oldner A. Early predictors of morbidity and mortality in trauma patients treated in the intensive care unit. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand. 2010;54(8):1007-17. Doi: 10.1111/j.1399-6576.2010.02266.x.
- [17] Raju R, Chaudry IH. Sex steroids/receptor antagonist: Their use as adjuncts after trauma-hemorrhage for improving immune/cardiovascular responses and for decreasing mortality from subsequent sepsis. Anesth Analg. 2008;107(1):159-66. Doi: 10.1213/ane.0b013e318163213d.
- [18] Bolandparvaz S, Yadollahi M, Abbasi HR, Anvar M. Injury patterns among various age and gender groups of trauma patients in southern Iran: A cross-sectional study. Medicine (Baltimore). 2017;96(41):e7812. Doi: 10.1097/MD.00000000007812.
- [19] Angele MK, Frantz MC, Chaudry IH. Gender and sex hormones influence the response to trauma and sepsis: Potential therapeutic approaches. Clinics (Sao Paulo). 2006;61(5):479-88. Doi: 10.1590/s1807-59322006000500017.
- [20] Morales CH, Escobar RM, Villegas MI, Castaño A, Trujillo J. Surgical site infection in abdominal trauma patients: Risk prediction and performance of the NNIS and SENIC indexes. Can J Surg. 2011;54(1):17-24. Doi: 10.1503/cjs.022109.
- [21] Delgado RM, Palma S, Gómez OA, Martínez GG, Medina CM. Indices of surgical site infection risk and prediction of other adverse outcomes during hospitalization. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2006;27(8):825-28. Doi: 10.1086/506402.
- [22] Pierpont YN, Dinh TP, Salas RE, Johnson EL, Wright TG, Robson MC, et al. Obesity and surgical wound healing: A current review. ISRN Obes. 2014;2014:638936. Doi: 10.1155/2014/638936.
- [23] Wilson JA, Clark JJ. Obesity: Impediment to postsurgical wound healing. Adv Skin Wound Care. 2004;17(8):426-35. Doi: 10.1097/00129334-200410000-00013.
- [24] Livingston DH, Lavery RF, N'kanza A, Anjaria D, Sifri ZC, Mohr AM, et al. Obesity does not increase morbidity and mortality after laparotomy for trauma. Am Surg. 2013;79(3):247-52. PMID: 23461948.
- [25] Croce MA, Fabian TC, Stewart RM, Pritchard FE, Minard G, Kudsk KA. Correlation of abdominal trauma index and injury severity score with abdominal septic complications in penetrating and blunt trauma. J Trauma. 1992;32(3):380-87. Doi: 10.1097/00005373-199203000-00017.
- [26] Wei S, Green C, Kao LS, Padilla JBB, Truong VTT, Wade CE, et al. Accurate risk stratification for development of organ/space surgical site infections after emergent trauma laparotomy. J Trauma Acute Care Surg. 2019;86(2):226-31. Doi: 10.1097/TA.00000000002143.
- [27] Wang CY, Chen YC, Chien TH, Chang HY, Chen YH, Chien CY, et al. Impact of comorbidities on the prognoses of trauma patients: Analysis of a hospital-based trauma registry database. PLoS One. 2018;13(3):e0194749. Doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0194749.

- [28] Edmiston CE Jr, Chitnis AS, Lerner J, Folly E, Holy CE, Leaper D. Impact of patient comorbidities on surgical site infection within 90 days of primary and revision joint (hip and knee) replacement. Am J Infect Control. 2019;47(10):1225-32. Doi: 10.1016/j.ajic.2019.03.030.
- [29] Choi KC, Peek AC, Lovell M, Torner JC, Zwerling C, Kealey GP. Complications after therapeutic trauma laparotomy. J Am Coll Surg. 2005;201(4):546-53. Doi: 10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2005.05.012.
- [30] Weinberg JA, McKinley K, Petersen SR, Demarest GB, Timberlake GA, Gardner RS. Trauma laparotomy in a rural setting before transfer to a regional center: Does it save lives? J Trauma. 2003;54(5):823-26; discussion 826-828. Doi:10.1097/01.TA.0000063001.61469.3E.
- [31] Agrawal V, Sharma D, Raina VK. Correlation of trauma scoring systems with abdominal septic complications in abdominal trauma. Indian J Gastroenterol. 2002:21(5):188-92.
- [32] Öztürk H, Dokucu AI, Otcu S, Onen A. The prognostic importance of trauma scoring systems for morbidity in children with penetrating abdominal wounds: 17 years of experience. J Pediatr Surg. 2002;37(1):93-98. Doi: 10.1053/ jpsu.2002.29436.
- [33] Kisat M, Villegas CV, Onguti S, Zafar SN, Latif A, Efron DT, et al. Predictors of sepsis in moderately severely injured patients: An analysis of the National Trauma Data Bank. Surg Infect (Larchmt). 2013;14(1):62-68. Doi: 10.1089/ sur.2012.009.

PARTICULARS OF CONTRIBUTORS:

- Senior Resident, Department of Surgery, Atal Bihari Vajpayee Institute of Medical Sciences and Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia Hospital, New Delhi, India.
- Professor, Department of Surgery, Atal Bihari Vajpayee Institute of Medical Sciences and Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia Hospital, New Delhi, India. Professor, Department of Surgery, Atal Bihari Vajpayee Institute of Medical Sciences and Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia Hospital, New Delhi, India. 2
- 3
- Resident, Department of Surgery, Atal Bihari Vajpayee Institute of Medical Sciences and Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia Hospital, New Delhi, India. 4.
- Assistant Professor, Department of Surgery, Atal Bihari Vajpayee Institute of Medical Sciences and Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia Hospital, New Delhi, India. 5. Professor, Department of Surgery, Atal Bihari Vajpayee Institute of Medical Sciences and Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia Hospital, New Delhi, India. 6

NAME, ADDRESS, E-MAIL ID OF THE CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Raghav Yelamanchi

Ward 17, Old Building, Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia Hospital, New Delhi, India. E-mail: raghavyelamanchi@gmail.com

AUTHOR DECLARATION:

- Financial or Other Competing Interests: None
- Was Ethics Committee Approval obtained for this study? Yes
- Was informed consent obtained from the subjects involved in the study? Yes
- For any images presented appropriate consent has been obtained from the subjects. NA

PLAGIARISM CHECKING METHODS: [Jain H et al.] ETYMOLOGY: Author Origin

- Plagiarism X-checker: Oct 21, 2020
- Manual Googling: Jan 23, 2021
- iThenticate Software: Feb 08, 2021 (12%)

Date of Submission: Oct 20, 2020 Date of Peer Review: Dec 22, 2020 Date of Acceptance: Feb 01, 2021 Date of Publishing: Apr 01, 2021